

Bioethics and Philosophical Argumentation

Authors:	Philippe Merz
Submitted:	4. November 2016
Published:	5. November 2016
Volume:	3
Issue:	6
Keywords:	bioethics, philosophical argumentation, symposium
DOI:	10.17160/josha.3.6.247



Journal of Science, Humanities and Arts

JOSHA is a service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content

THALES AKADEMIE



Bioethics and Philosophical Argumentation

Symposium *Science, Ethics and Arts* University of Freiburg Dr. Philippe Merz October 11th, 2016

Overview

- Three steps:
 - 1) Clarification: "Bioethics" & "Philosophical Argumentation" – what is that anyway?
 - 2) Key questions & areas of Bioethics
 - 3) Five major obstacles to Bioethics

1. Clarification



- "Bioethics" / "Medical Ethics"?
- Bioethics is a somewhat confusing title for...
 - ... problems concerning life phenomena in general
 - ... Ethics of the Life Sciences
 - ... the sum of Medical Ethics, Anmial Ethics, Environmental Ethics
 - ... <u>Biomedical Ethics</u>

1. Clarification

- "Philosophical Argumentation"?
 - With regard to methodology: no metaphysical presuppositions, no ideologies or personal beliefs; instead: consistency of argumentation & weightage of arguments, concepts, values, and norms
 - With regard to content: How should we judge and act in the many morally demanding situations of everyday life?
 - What can give us orientation when judging our own and other's actions: the result of an action? The intention behind the action? Or the trust that we'll have the practical wisdom to judge every challenge appropriately?

Key questions

- What's the beginning of human life?
- When are we dead ("brain death" as ultimate criterion)?

THALES

AKADEMIE

- Under what circumstances are experiments on living human beings morally acceptable?
- Under what circumstances are organ transplantations acceptable?
- What about transplanting animal organs into human beings (Xenotransplantation) or producing organs with the help of embryonic stem cells?
- How should we distribute the organs we have ("justice")?

Is the assisted suicide for terminally ill patients morally acceptable
 – and should we legalize it?

THALES

AKADEMIE

- Is the assisted suicide compatible with the professional ethics of physicians – and how far goes our autonomy for a selfdetermined death?
- To what extent do patients have to be informed about their medical therapy and possible alternatives ("informed consent")?
- What should we do with genetic informations about ourselves and others?
- Do we have a "right" for healthy kids? Do we have the "duty" to prevent genetic defects or severe diseases (esp.: pre-implantation diagnostics & CRISPR-Cas)?

Until when should women be allowed to perform an abortion

 and under what circumstances?

THALES

AKADEMIE

- Should we perform *every* medical measure on *every* patient (diagnostic procedure, surgery, medical drugs etc.)? Or should we make this dependend on her age, physical condition, health insurance – or even sex and social position?
- Are different types of health insurances accectable, especially if they depend on our income?
- How much money are we willing to spend for health as individuals, but also as society as a whole?

• Areas of Bioethics

- Code of Ethics for Physicians
- Physician-Patient Relationship
- Induced Arbotion
- Assissted Suicide / "Euthanasia"
- Research on human beings
- Transplantational Medicine
- Reproductional Medicine
- Enhancement techniques (anti aging, doping, cognitive enhancement)
- Ethics of Psychiatry
- Health Economics



- Central (normative) concepts:
 - Human life / human being
 - Dignity
 - Autonomy
 - Justice

- Characteristics of Bioethics
 - <u>Reflection</u> of our moral standards, norms, and values and how well that can be justified
 - <u>Normative orientation</u> for our self understanding & institutional designs
 - Possible only with an <u>interdisciplinary approach</u>
 - Bioethical judgements are <u>mixed judgements.</u>
 - <u>Huge importance</u> for the development of medicine, pharmacy, and our society as a whole.



- 1) The increasing specialization of sciences and correspondingly: the restriction of curricula
- 2) The fear of philosophical complexity & (supposed) relativity
- 3) The moral pluralism of our societies
- 4) The dominant imperative of our technical age: "What can be done, needs to be done!"
- 5) The economization of our health care systems (imperative of cost-effectiveness as ultimate goal)



- Consequence I:
 - We <u>all</u> share the responsibility to engage in these challenges.



- Consequence II:
 - We need the far-sightedness to implement those challenges more into the curricula of universities and into public debate.



- Consequence III:
 - We need the courage for open dispute and the openness to follow the force of the best argument.



- Consequence IV:
 - But the most important challenge of today's Bioethics is that we (as individuals and societies!) <u>learn to step back</u> from options that we technically have – if we come to the conclusion that they are morally not acceptable.

But this learning process is just about to begin...